1	LOUISIANA STATE BOARD OF PRIVATE SECURITY EXAMINERS
2	QUARTERLY BOARD MEETING
3	AND
4	ADJUDICATORY HEARINGS
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Louisiana State Board of Private Security Examiners
13	15703 Old Hammond Highway
14	Baton Rouge, Louisiana
15	
16	
17	
18	June 20, 2013
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported By
24	ANNETTE ROSS, CCR, RPR
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	BOARD MEMBERS:
4	Mr. Louis S. Gurvich, Jr., Chairman, District 1
5	Thomas L. Baer, District 3
6	Donald O. Cotton, District 5
7	Charles V. Duplechain, District 4
8	Kenneth R. Kennedy, Member at Large
9	James H. "Chip" Romero, Member at Large
10	George Rojas, Member at Large
11	Christine Vinson, Vice Chairperson
12	COUNSEL:
13	Frank D. Blackburn, Esq.
14	BOARD STAFF:
15	Mr. Wayne R. Rogillio
16	Ms. Jane Ryland
17	Mr. Dickie Thornton
18	
19	Reported by: ANNETTE ROSS, CCR NO. 93001,
20	Certified Court Reporter, in
21	and for the State of Louisiana
22	
23	
24	
25	

2

1	INDEX
2	Page
3	OSCAR DANTZLER
4	PAMELA PERKINS
5	LEGAL UPDATE/LEGISLATION
6	OLD BUSINESS
7	Office Status and Financial Reports 16, 50
8	NEW BUSINESS
9	Amend the 2012-2013 Budget, Approve the 2013-2014
10	Budget
11	Election of Chairman
12	Discussion, Solomon Group
13	Approval of Contracts
14	Pay Increase for Unclassified Civil Service
15	Employees
16	DETERMINATION OF DATE OF NEXT MEETING
17	QUESTIONS/COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC
18	* * * *
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

3

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. GURVICH: Welcome, everyone, to the
3	quarterly meeting of the Louisiana State Board of Private
4	Security Examiners. I'd ask Chief Rogillio, if you will
5	be kind enough, to lead us in The Pledge, followed by a
6	moment of silence.
7	(Pledge of Allegiance and brief moment of silence.)
8	MR. GURVICH: Thank you. May we have a roll
9	call.
10	(Roll call.)
11	MR. GURVICH: All right. There being a quorum
12	present, let's proceed. And I'd ask everyone to please
13	put your as I'm doing now belatedly, put your cell
14	phones on vibrate or turn them off. Chief, what's your
15	pleasure?
16	MR. ROGILLIO: Okay, sir. The first item is the
17	reading of the minutes of the previous meeting.
18	MR. GURVICH: Motion?
19	MR. ROMERO: Move to waive the reading of the
20	minutes.
21	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Romero. Second by
22	Mr. Gurvich to waive the reading of the minutes of the
23	previous meeting. Any debate/discussion? All in favor
24	of the motion as stated say aye.
25	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. GURVICH: The motion passes unanimously. 1 Next matter. 2 3

## OSCAR DANTZLER

MR. ROGILLIO: The next matter is the 4 adjudicatory hearings. The first case that you have is 5 Oscar Dantzler. I got a letter vesterday from him, and 6 he had been served, of course, indicating that he wanted 7 to postpone his hearing because he was going to be out of 8 town. So that's -- I wasn't going to grant that, but, 9 again, it just came in yesterday in the mail, so. 10 Is the gentleman working? MR. GURVICH: 11 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, I think so. I don't have 12 proof that he is, but there is a lot of extenuating 13 circumstances that -- I don't know if you want to hear 14 that without him being present, but. 15 MR. GURVICH: Well, in other words, is it a 16 background check issue? 17 MR. ROGILLIO: No, sir. No, sir. This is a 18 company that was operating unlicensed that wants to get a 19 license. 20 MR. GURVICH: And they don't have a license 21 2.2 now? MR. ROGILLIO: No, sir. 23 But we do know for a fact that MR. GURVICH: 24 25 they are operating in private security?

MR. ROGILLIO: Well, he is advertising quite a 1 bit. 2 Well, Counsel, is it okay to MR. GURVICH: 3 advertise without having a license as opposed to actually 4 operating? 5 MR. BLACKBURN: No, sir. The law, as I recall, 6 is solicitation is not allowed. What I suggest you do in 7 this case is take this preliminary evidence he gives you 8 and issue a Cease and Desist until the next board 9 hearing. 10 MR. GURVICH: All right. So he has requested a 11 continuance based on he contacted you yesterday? 12 MR. ROGILLIO: Well, by mail. Yes, sir. 13 By mail. And I think the crux of MR. GURVICH: 14 this is, is that -- is it correct to say that the staff 15 feels this is an ongoing contract security presence by an 16 unlicensed company and does it constitute a danger to the 17 public. Is that the gist of it? 18 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. 19 MR. GURVICH: So your recommendation is not to 20 grant the continuance. 21 Right. 2.2 MR. ROGILLIO: MR. GURVICH: Have I got that encapsulated? 2.3 Well, I don't mind granting the MR. BLACKBURN: 24 25 continuance, but in the meantime, issue a Cease and

1	
1	Desist so he doesn't operate in the interim.
2	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, he doesn't have a license,
3	so a Cease and Desist isn't going to do any good.
4	MR. BLACKBURN: Yes, it does. It puts him on
5	notice, and we can put his butt in jail if he keeps
6	operating.
7	MR. GURVICH: Well, Counsel, so that's your
8	preferred mode to proceed on?
9	MR. BLACKBURN: I think it allows us, if we find
10	out in the next three months that he is still operating,
11	we can go get an injunction. If he violates the
12	injunction, he's in contempt of court.
13	MR. GURVICH: All right. So the procedural
14	method here would be to grant the request for a
15	continuance, but to issue an interim Cease and Desist
16	pending the actual hearing?
17	MR. BLACKBURN: Grant the continuance if you so
18	desire, with the condition of Cease and Desist Order that
19	he stops working or stops soliciting, either, whichever
20	one he's doing.
21	MR. GURVICH: Well, if he ceases and desists,
22	then there is no issue, then, I would take it. All
23	right.
24	So I will make a motion: That the continuance be
25	granted on the condition and with the proviso that the

an interim Cease and Desist Order be issued forthwith, 1 and that any violation thereof shall cause the -- well, 2 help me out on this. 3 MR. BLACKBURN: The board to take appropriate 4 action or staff take appropriate action. 5 MR. GURVICH: All right -- shall cause the board 6 staff to take immediate action. 7 Do I hear a second to the motion? 8 MR. DUPLECHAIN: Second. 9 MR. KENNEDY: Second. 10 MS. VINSON: Second. 11 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Any debate/discussion? All 12 in favor? I guess it's unanimous, so let's proceed on. 13 But do -- because of the public welfare issue here, I 14 assume that our investigators will be all over this. So 15 we are issuing an interim Cease and Desist Order. 16 MR. ROGILLIO: We will serve him with the Cease 17 and Desist Order. And, from that point on, if we can 18 determine that he is in fact working, we will proceed 19 with that for injunctive relief at that point. 20 MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman. 21 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Romero. 2.2 MR. ROMERO: Is the Cease and Desist served on 2.3 any of the clientele that he is currently working with as 24 well ---25

MR. ROGILLIO: No, sir.

1

2

3

4

25

MR. ROMERO: -- or information shared with them? How would they know that this company has been issued a Cease and Desist?

MR. ROGILLIO: Well, what we have is, in the 5 law, we have, basically, a letter that we serve upon 6 those individuals, citing the statute that they -- if 7 they continue using this person, that they themselves 8 could be in trouble. And that's what we serve them. 9 It's not actually a cease and desist. It only applies to 10 companies or licensees, and they are not a licensee. So 11 we just serve them with that letter advising them that 12 this person is not insured, not licensed; therefore, a 13 lot of the liability lies on that client at that point. 14 All the liability does at that point. 15

MS. RYLAND: But at this point, we don't know that he actually has a contract. We just know that he is advertising. So he don't have a contract with a client.

MR. ROGILLIO: Even to the point, Mr. Romero, he
drove up here with his signs on the side of his vehicle.
And Jane went out and took pictures of them. And, you
know, I mean, that's pretty bold.
MR. ROMERO: Got it. Thank you.

24 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.

MR. GURVICH: So it is against the law to even

solicit without a license? 1 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. 2 MR. GURVICH: So our (inaudible) on that. 3 MR. ROGILLIO: It states so in our statute. 4 Yes, sir. 5 MR. GURVICH: Your service and all that is in 6 order, Counsel? 7 MR. BLACKBURN: Yes, sir. 8 MR. GURVICH: Okay, great. Well, let's move on 9 to the next matter. 10 PAMELA PERKINS 11 MR. ROGILLIO: The next matter is Ms. Pamela 12 Perkins. She's a security officer, and the application 13 for her employment was denied, based on the background 14 check. 15 Ms. Perkins, if you would, come MR. BLACKBURN: 16 up there and have a seat where that microphone is over 17 there. 18 I quess we need to swear the 19 MR. GURVICH: witness, please. 20 MR. BLACKBURN: Yeah. 21 MR. ROGILLIO: Well, sir. We have -- we were 2.2 audited by the Justice Department some time back. Tn 2.3 these kinds of matters, the board needs to consider going 24 into executive session to discuss Ms. Perkins' 25

background; otherwise, we are in violation, so you may 1 want to do that in order to complete this hearing. 2 MR. GURVICH: All right. So it's y'all's 3 opinion, then, that when we're discussing issues that 4 have to do with background, that we should go into 5 executive session? 6 MR. ROGILLIO: That's what the representative 7 from the Justice Department indicated, that we could not 8 discuss the background of some -- of any individual in an 9 open meeting. 10 MR. GURVICH: And this has to do with the denial 11 of a license because of a background check? 12 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. 13 MR. GURVICH: All right. Well, then, I will 14 make a motion to go into executive session. Is that a 15 majority or two-thirds? 16 Two-thirds. MR. BLACKBURN: 17 MR. GURVICH: Do I hear a second to the motion? 18 MR. ROMERO: Second. 19 MR. ROJAS: Second. 20 Motion by Mr. Gurvich jointly MR. GURVICH: 21 seconded by Mr. Romero and, I think, Ms. Vinson and 2.2 Mr. Kennedy as well. Any debate/discussion? All in 23 favor of the motion as stated say ave. 24 25 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

1	MR. GURVICH: There being no dissent, we will go
2	into executive session. I would ask that staff please
3	ask everyone to remove themselves temporarily
4	MR. THORNTON: Step into the hallway, if you
5	would, please, and.
6	MR. GURVICH: from the boardroom. And by all
7	means, help yourself to some coffee or doughnuts, and,
8	hopefully, we won't be too long.
9	(Ms. Pamela Perkins is administered the oath.)
10	(Executive session from 9:51 to 10:12)
11	MR. GURVICH: So the motion needs to be
12	restated, I guess. Mr. Duplechain, it's your and
13	Ms. Vinson's motion. Would one of you guys like to
14	restate the motion for the record.
15	MR. DUPLECHAIN: We made a motion that we give
16	her a temporary license, unarmed, to operate until we can
17	get more information on the charges that were on the
18	books. In 90 days, we'll have another hearing in 90
19	days.
20	MR. GURVICH: Right. That's the crux of your
21	joint motion by Ms. Vinson and Mr. Duplechain. Further
22	debate/discussion? There being none, I will call for the
23	vote. All in favor say aye.
24	ALL BOARD MEMBERS, EXCLUDING MR. BAER: Aye.
25	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passed

unanimously. Thank you, ma'am. Work with the staff if 1 you need help. 2 MS. RYLAND: Did you say no or yes? 3 MR. GURVICH: Wait. I take that back. We want 4 to record Mr. Baer's nay vote. 5 MR. BAER: I say no. 6 Next matter, Chief. MR. GURVICH: 7 MR. ROGILLIO: All right, sir. That completes 8 the adjudicatories. 9 COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gurvich, I was not present 10 when the motion was stated. 11 MR. DUPLECHAIN: The motion is that we issue her 12 a temporary license, unarmed, for approximately 90 days 13 until our next hearing, to give her a chance to get some 14 paperwork together to explain some charges. 15 LEGAL UPDATE/LEGISLATION 16 MR. ROGILLIO: Legal update. 17 MR. BLACKBURN: The only thing I want to mention 18 was, the legislature ended. We tracked about half a 19 dozen bills, none of which would have done us too much 20 None of them that did any harm passed. harm. 21 Three bills mentioned as passed, and they were like 2.2 security for NOPD and budgetary issues, things like 2.3 that. So there is no real legislative report, except to 24 25 say that we survived another one.

1	MR. GURVICH: What did the house bill say about
2	NOPD? Was it a house bill?
3	MR. BLACKBURN: It was House Bill Senate Bill
4	139 (sic), Act 94.
5	MR. GURVICH: You said Senate Bill what?
6	MR. BLACKBURN: 159.
7	MR. GURVICH: Senate Bill 159.
8	MR. BLACKBURN: You can have this copy.
9	MR. GURVICH: And that's passed and been signed
10	and all that good stuff?
11	MR. BLACKBURN: Yes.
12	MR. GURVICH: And so what does it say?
13	MR. BLACKBURN: It sets up that thing that the
14	city set up.
15	MR. GURVICH: The Office of Secondary
16	MR. BLACKBURN: The Office of Secondary
17	Employment.
18	MR. GURVICH: Employment, whatever?
19	MR. BLACKBURN: Yes.
20	MR. GURVICH: Does the state have to do that, or
21	is that something that the city is supposed to do?
22	MR. BLACKBURN: The city is going to do it. And
23	they have already done it, actually.
24	MR. GURVICH: Why is there a Senate bill for the
25	same effect?

MR. BLACKBURN: Probably, there was some 1 hindrance of some state law, and they had to go in there 2 and straighten it up and make them do it. 3 I'd like to -- does anybody MR. GURVICH: Yes. 4 from the board want a copy of this? Can we get some 5 copies made? I think we are all kind of interested in 6 that. 7 That's the home rule charter and all that sort of 8 thing, where the city is supposed to do some things and 9 the state others. I'm just wondering why the state would 10 even pass that. I mean, maybe it's some arcane reason, 11 but. 12 MR. BLACKBURN: It might be the home rule 13 charter and having to trump it. 14 Right. It's more involved than MR. GURVICH: 15 what I want to get into here. But, I think, if you 16 would, pass around copies. Anything further on Legal? 17 MR. BLACKBURN: No. 18 MR. GURVICH: By the way, I spoke to our 19 attorney on the F.E.S.S. matter, and the agreement in 20 principle has been reached within the parameters set 21 forth by the board. The attorney for F.E.S.S. had a 2.2 month-long asbestos trial, and I understand that's about 2.3 being finalized, by way of update. Any questions, talk 24 to me later. But that's a short ways from being 25

ANNETTE ROSS, RPR (225)243-5595

15

1 || finalized.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

18

2.2

Next matter.

## OLD BUSINESS, OFFICE STATUS AND FINANCIAL REPORTS

MR. ROGILLIO: Next matter is Status Reports. Does anybody have any questions about those?

MR. GURVICH: Financials are on track where we? NEW BUSINESS, AMENDMENT OF 2012-13 BUDGET; APPROVAL OF 2013-14 BUDGET

9 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. Mr. McRight is here, 10 our CPA. If we could, so that we don't hold him up when 11 we get to that financial report, if we could take up the 12 matter of Item 6-3 -- A-3, which is the budget? If we 13 could incorporate all that together so that he can get 14 out of here.

MR. GURVICH: All right. I will move to waive the agenda and allow our CPA to address the board immediately.

Ν

MR. ROMERO: Second.

MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich, second by Mr. Romero. Debate? Discussion? All in favor of the motion as stated say aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. GURVICH: Welcome, sir. Thank you for being
here.
MR. MCRIGHT: Thank y'all for having me. We are

here today to really present the May 31st financials, 1 plus Amended Budget for June 30th, 2013. The reason for 2 that is to put us in compliance with the state budget law 3 that says we come within 5 percent on the budget at year 4 end. So this is our best attempt to do it. 5 So we've put on -- I'm not sure what y'all have. In 6 my first one, it shows the expenses and then the 7 And this is our budget projection. The first revenues. 8 column is the actual expenses and revenues for year to 9 date through May 31st. And at that time, we are 10 basically showing a profit of 156,000 on a budgetary 11 basis. 12 We projected that we are going to have another 13 addition, probably, added to that during the month of 14 June of 14,000. And we come up with the Amended Budget 15 of about 148,000. That will be a projected budget 16 amount. That won't be actual amounts. 17 MR. GURVICH: That's excess overage. 18 19 MR. MCRIGHT: Excess overage, revenues over 20 expenses. MR. GURVICH: Revenues over expenses. 21 So, actually, what you are saying is, we are ahead of our 2.2 budget projections, revenue-wise. 23 MR. MCRIGHT: Yes. We had projected right 24 25 around \$100,000, and we are going to be up around 150,000

with this amended budget. So we are doing a little bit
better than we had anticipated, and we expect additional
expenses that will be coming up next year, so it's a good
time to have a little excess to carry over.

5 MR. GURVICH: What are the additional expenses? 6 MR. MCRIGHT: Y'all are getting -- Sharon has 7 left the room, but I think y'all are getting a new 8 fingerprint online system.

9 MS. RYLAND: We are going to be discussing that 10 at the next meeting.

MR. MCRIGHT: Yes. We don't have any idea exactly what all that's going to cost yet, so. We just know it won't be cheap. And y'all are going also to paperless. And that will be -- y'all will probably be discussing that later on also. The contract people will be doing it. There will be a few more expenses next year that will be a onetime deal for the coming year.

You also have a balance sheet in there. And as of 18 May 31st, we are showing that y'all have a total of cash 19 of 589,000. Y'all have roughly 73,000 of current bills 20 to be paid. Then you have 439,000 of these extended 21 benefits to be paid to employees after retirement, so 2.2 that we have set up the liability for that of 439,000. 23 The auditors may adjust that a little bit more this 24 25 year, so you can probably figure that's going to go up by

another 60- or \$70,000 at least, as the final savings for 1 June 30th, 2013. 2

3

4

5

20

MR. GURVICH: Do you feel that we need that much operating cash in the bank or should perhaps some of that be transferred to a money market account?

MR. MCRIGHT: The money market as of right now 6 has \$226,000 roughly in it. And we've used that money 7 market as a means of moving money from the cash account 8 to cover this long-term liability OPED payable of 9 400,000. We have that 219,000 kind of set up to be 10 paying toward that so you don't have to come up with that 11 12 money.

Sometime in the future, the accounting profession 13 are going change the rules, and we're going to have to 14 start accounting for those things on a current basis. 15 That's not the law yet, but y'all are ahead of the game 16 by putting that money over into the money market account. 17 Well, should we put more into the 18 MR. GURVICH: 19 money market account? MR. MCRIGHT: I would suggest yes.

MR. GURVICH: Chief, is that feasible, I mean, 21 if you don't -- you are not actually using it? 2.2 MR. ROGILLIO: No, sir. It's low interest, 2.3 but it is --24 MR. GURVICH: It's some interest --25

	20
1	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
2	MR. GURVICH: because I can tell you what the
3	interest is in the bank. Okay. Any questions/comments
4	from board members? Things seem to be going, overall,
5	rather well, I would surmise.
6	MR. MCRIGHT: Yes. I think the changes y'all
7	made were basically two years ago, but really helped the
8	board, have gotten them back in good sound financial
9	position. And we are cutting expenses. We are keeping
10	things in line. So, right now, y'all are in real good
11	shape, barring any unforeseen circumstances.
12	MR. GURVICH: All right. Do we need a motion to
13	approve the budget as presented?
14	MR. ROGILLIO: You need to amend the first and
15	then approve the second.
16	MR. GURVICH: Motion to approve the budget as
17	amended move to amend it?
18	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
19	MR. GURVICH: All right. I'll move to amend the
20	budget as presented.
21	MR. ROJAS: Second.
22	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich, second by,
23	I think was that Mr. Rojas down there?
24	MR. ROJAS: Yes.
25	MR. GURVICH: Any debate/discussion? All in

1	favor of the motion to amend the budget as presented say
2	aye.
3	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
4	MR. GURVICH: Motion passes unanimously.
5	Second, I will move to approve the projected budget as
6	amended?
7	MS. RYLAND: No, not as amended.
8	MR. GURVICH: Well, we just amended it.
9	MR. ROGILLIO: No. This will be the new budget.
10	MS. RYLAND: The projected budget.
11	MR. ROGILLIO: '13-14 budget.
12	MR. GURVICH: Motion to approve the projected
13	budget
14	MR. MCRIGHT: For June 30th, 2014.
15	MR. GURVICH: for June 30th, 2014.
16	MR. ROMERO: Second.
17	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich, jointly
18	seconded by Mr. Rojas and Mr. Romero. Debate?
19	Discussion? All in favor of the motion as stated say
20	aye.
21	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
22	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes
23	unanimously. Thank you for being here today, sir.
24	MR. MCRIGHT: Thank y'all for having me.
25	MR. GURVICH: All right. I think there was a

suggestion that we take a ten-minute break. 1 (Recess taken.) 2 NEW BUSINESS, ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN 3 MR. ROGILLIO: If we could reconvene, sir, the 4 next item is under New Business, Miscellaneous. We need 5 to have an election for chairman as we are required to do 6 as every two years. 7 MR. ROJAS: Mr. Chairman --8 MR. GURVICH: Go ahead. 9 MR. ROJAS: -- I make a motion that we retain 10 the chairman and vice chairman. All the chairmen should 11 stay the same. 12 MR. ROMERO: Second. 13 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Well, thank you very much. 14 I mean, let me put it this way: I have served long 15 enough to where, if somebody else wants to run, it's 16 perfectly okay with me. 17 MR. ROGILLIO: It's at the same pay rate, by the 18 19 way. MR. GURVICH: The same? I have been getting a 20 raise every year, but I get a percentage raise. 21 MR. DUPLECHAIN: You gave me half. 2.2 MR. GURVICH: For the record, I gave half of my 2.3 salary to Chuck. But thank you very much. I will call 24 for a vote. And I assume the motion is that the 25

1	chairman, vice chairman, and all committee chairmen
2	remain the same as they are at present. Is that the
3	essence of the?
4	MR. ROJAS: Yes.
5	MR. KENNEDY: Thanks a lot, Mr. Rojas.
6	MR. GURVICH: All right. Well, thank you very
7	much. And I know Ms. Vinson thanks you as well. All
8	right. All in favor of the motion as stated say aye.
9	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
10	MR. GURVICH: Thank you. Next matter any
11	opposed? BY unanimous vote. Thank you.
12	DISCUSSION, SOLOMON GROUP
13	MR. ROGILLIO: We have a request on the agenda
14	from the Solomon Group. There's a gentleman here. He
15	wanted to come and discuss the possibility of working the
16	Essence Festival in July in New Orleans and having the
17	same kind of a situation we had with S.A.F.E. Management
18	for the Super Bowl, so I will let him come up.
19	MR. GURVICH: Is the gentleman here? Yes, sir.
20	If you would, step over to the mic. over there, identify
21	yourself, and address the board, if you will.
22	MS. RYLAND: What I put by your places is what
23	he gave us to give y'all, and then there's
24	MS. VINSON: Is this in addition to the letter?
25	MS. RYLAND: In addition to what you have in the

1 packet.

MR. VALIENTE: Good morning. My name is Joe 2 Valiente, and I am representing the Solomon Group. 3 Solomon Group is a production company that is hired by 4 Essence to take over their festival this year. Included 5 in those responsibilities were the security issues at the 6 Super Dome, Morial Convention Center, and Woldenberg 7 Park. 8

9 It was the wish of Essence or the request -- Essence 10 had requested that we have a more uniform look and a more 11 consistent look throughout the security force. It was 12 also their wish that they wanted to break up the security 13 force in terms of using several companies, rather than 14 just one, and that presented an issue of having different 15 uniforms for each company.

It came to our attention that S.A.F.E. had been 16 granted a waiver for the Super Bowl to use one 17 consistent uniform shirt for their entire work force that 18 was actually incorporated within seven or eight different 19 companies. It was then that I reached out to 20 Mr. Rogillio and that I asked him if it would be 21 possible. And he said that it would be worth bringing to 2.2 the board to get approval for it. 23

We submitted some artwork on two different shirts. And the shirts identify Essence as the festival and also

identifies the individual security guard companies that
we are going to employ, which will be S.A.F.E., W & L, and
Track All. And it's going to be a collared shirt similar
to a polo shirt, button-down. And we think that this is
going to give a real good appearance. And the chief
objective is having a consistent uniform.

I do want to note that the reason why we have two 7 colors on these examples is that we plan to use one color 8 for the Super Dome and one color for the Convention 9 The organization that will be F.E.S.S., which 10 Center. will handle the Convention Center, will also do 11 Woldenberg Park. So the Woldenberg Park venue and the 12 Convention Center will be one color, and then the Super 13 Dome will have the other color. 14

And there are some reasons with that -- for that 15 within the Solomon Production Group, not necessarily 16 security-minded people, but they felt that they didn't 17 want -- there were some issues last year with security 18 quards coming in to the various venues. 19 They were working the Convention Center. They were sneaking into 20 the Super Dome and vice versa. We think that this is 21 going to eliminate that possibility, so -- or make it 2.2 more difficult for them. 23

So we just basically reached out, and we are just requesting that we be granted a similar waiver that was

1	given to S.A.F.E. That's about it. Does anybody have
2	any questions?
3	MR. GURVICH: Comments or questions?
4	MS. VINSON: Yes.
5	MR. GURVICH: Ms. Vinson.
6	MS. VINSON: Besides the uniforms, how are you
7	all going to handle registration, security officer
8	registrations?
9	MR. VALIENTE: Well, as we understand it, that's
10	going to be the responsibility of the individual security
11	guard companies. They're going to have to make sure that
12	their guards are registered. We are going to get a list
13	of every single guard that they are bringing on board
14	and.
15	MS. VINSON: Are you going to verify? because,
16	with S.A.F.E., S.A.F.E. verified their registration.
17	MR. VALIENTE: We can do that. We can
18	absolutely do that.
19	MS. VINSON: And that's kind of like certain
20	that's why certain waivers
21	MR. VALIENTE: We are part of their
22	contract
23	MS. VINSON: From what I understand, from what I
24	remember, we had I mean, S.A.F.E. came in and
25	presented us with a package of here's how we'd like to

1	handle registrations: We would like to hire registered
2	officers. So it wasn't just a T-shirt thing.
3	Am I right in remembering that correctly, or can
4	someone help me out?
5	MR. GURVICH: They volunteered.
6	MR. BLACKBURN: They are already licensed guards
7	working for a licensed company already.
8	MS. VINSON: Right. They used only licensed
9	guards.
10	MR. VALIENTE: That is part of the contract with
11	each one of these companies, that the guards have to be
12	licensed.
13	MS. VINSON: Temporary license or permanent
14	license?
15	MR. VALIENTE: That's a good question. I don't
16	know if that I don't know if that actual issue came
17	up, and I'm not familiar with the contract 100 percent,
18	so I would have to go back and look at that. But it's my
19	understanding, the guards that are issued temporary
20	licenses are in fact to work, correct?
21	MR. DUPLECHAIN: But the problem with that is,
22	let's say I'm one of the companies and I can give you a
23	guard, give him a temporary license, but not registered
24	with the state. What S.A.F.E. did is, every guard that
25	was there had to be permanent security guards for that

27

1 company.

5

6

16

17

MS. VINSON: That's means that their 2 fingerprints have been cleared, their backgrounds have 3 been done. 4

MR. DUPLECHAIN: Already they have -- for you to verify, all you would need to do is to get a list of the quards and a copy of their license. 7

MR. VALIENTE: We can do that. I don't see any 8 problem with that whatsoever. Part of the contract 9 requirement is that the responsibility of each company, 10 it has to give us a list of every one of their guards so 11 we can -- with that list, we should be able to verify 12 whether or not they have permanent status. 13

Is that strictly unarmed officers, or MR. BAER: 14 are you going to have armed? 15

> MR. VALIENTE: The unarmed.

MS. VINSON: Strictly unarmed?

MR. VALIENTE: Well, we are going to have armed, 18 but they are going to be -- the armed, another, another 19 facet to this operation is that we split the security 20 force from regular-shirted security nonarmed, and we're 21 going to use P.O.S.T.-certified police officers at both 2.2 the Convention Center, the Super Dome, and at Woldenberg 2.3 Park. It's going to be about a 50/50 split this year, 24 something that I don't think has ever been done for this 25

1 || type of event.

13

14

15

We did that because there were some issues involving matters of law, civil disobedience, things of that nature, the guards just wasn't empowered to handle. So, but the security guards that we are going to employ will all be unarmed.

7 MR. GURVICH: Chief, by way of comparison, when 8 S.A.F.E. came in, they were -- I think the obligations 9 that the board assessed to them were that there would be 10 a photo ID and that the ID would indicate somewhere on it 11 the name of the individual and the name of the actual 12 contract security company.

MR. ROGILLIO: Right.

MR. GURVICH: In other words --

MS. VINSON: Like a lanyard.

MR. GURVICH: -- sort of a lanyard or a pin or 16 something like that. It would be -- it would have to be 17 approved by the board, which it was. In other words, I 18 think the overall idea is that the board would like 19 to have this, but, frankly, the NFL came in, and they had 20 set pretty high standards for themselves. I think that, 21 you know, the board was willing to work with them. 2.2 What, we want to do is -- and I fully share Ms. Vinson's 23 concerns about verification that these people are in fact 24 licensed and eligible to work for these security 25

1	companies. And there are also some documentation issues,
2	you know, that you're going to have to abide by as well.
3	In other words, we don't want if we grant you
4	this leeway in using one uniform, and there are probably
5	valid public safety reasons for doing that, we don't want
6	it to act as a substitute for complying with our
7	regulations. That encapsulates the whole concern.
8	Chief, I think that the S.A.F.E. had presented a
9	badge that we approved, that you, the staff, approved.
10	What else was incumbent on them?
11	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, that they had it wasn't
12	necessarily a badge. It was a button that they wore,
13	indicating which company they represented.
14	MR. GURVICH: And identified.
15	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. Now, let me just say
16	that all of the good things that S.A.F.E. came in and
17	told you guys, when it was all said and done and they
18	sent all these applications and terminations together
19	because they only used them for that short period of
20	time, we had nine of those individuals that had been
21	denied for a felony convictions. So their background
22	checks obviously left a lot to be desired. That's just
23	something to
24	MR. GURVICH: Well, nine out of a couple of
25	thousand. I mean, there shouldn't be any violations.

1	MR. ROGILLIO: It was like a couple of hundred
2	people, I think, that they
3	MR. GURVICH: A couple hundred. Okay.
4	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. But I'm just pointing out
5	now that
6	MR. GURVICH: More than zero is a problem.
7	MR. ROGILLIO: had there had been a problem,
8	that would have been an embarrassment on the part of, not
9	only this board, but the company as well. So I just
10	MS. VINSON: Right. Because I'm thinking, if
11	there is a problem or if we don't get proper
12	registration
13	MR. ROGILLIO: These were all these were
14	those those kind of situations were where they issued
15	the temporary cards and they sent in, after the fact, the
16	application and termination together. So they were not
17	permanently licensed.
18	MS. VINSON: Right. And I'm guessing that
19	that's what's going to happen here.
20	MR. ROGILLIO: Could. It could.
21	MS. VINSON: So if we have issues with
22	applications or issues with registration
23	MR. KENNEDY: It's already done.
24	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. You won't know about it
25	until after the fact.

MS. RYLAND: Well, we audit those companies, so 1 if they show up on payroll, we'll get them, but. 2 MR. GURVICH: Then you have some recourse. Ι 3 mean, you can have violations anyway. 4 MR. ROMERO: But that's no different from the 5 security environment that we are all involved with now, 6 or any of these provided, temporary or otherwise. 7 MR. ROGILLIO: Right. 8 MS. VINSON: But since they are wearing a 9 Solomon Group shirt, are we going to call these guys back 10 in here, or are we going to call in the company that? 11 If you notice on the shirt, on the MS. RYLAND: 12 thing, the names are on the shirt of the different 13 companies. 14 You would certainly have recourse MR. GURVICH: 15 against the offending licensed contract guard company. 16 There was one other --17 MS. VINSON: And you are agreeing to the button, 18 because, I think, in the April 10th letter, you mention 19 it, the ID tag, so? 20 MR. VALIENTE: Correct, correct. The artwork 21 indicates that we were going to actually emboss the name 2.2 of the company on the shirts, so that it wouldn't come 2.3 off. But if it comes down to actually creating a button 24 25 or a badge, that's not an issue either.

32

MR. GURVICH: Would that have to be on the 1 shirt? because, you know, you might want to use the 2 shirt again. But it has to show somewhere the name of 3 the quard company in large enough letters to where, you 4 know, the members in attendance at the events --5 MR. VALIENTE: Correct. 6 MR. GURVICH: -- attendees could --7 Like a photo ID badge. MS. VINSON: 8 MR. GURVICH: Right. 9 MR. VALIENTE: Okay. I mean, if that's what we 10 have to do to be in compliance, then, you know, at this 11 point in the game, we are willing to do it. Just as far 12 as we were going to look at the individuals, because 13 their contracts do call to give us a list of all the 14 security quards. And I'm sure that we will be able to 15 verify. 16 Now, relative to their registration and 17 fingerprinting, do we check with the state board here, or 18 what would be the exact mechanism for that? 19 MS. VINSON: Yes. But, then, if they are only 20 going to be temporary guards, they wouldn't really have 21 been fingerprinted, because they can work for ten days 2.2 without being fingerprinted, but they still have to be 2.3 registered. They still have to submit an application. 24 So I shouldn't say registered. They have to submit an 25

33

1 application.

2	MR. VALIENTE: Okay. But, once they do that,
3	aren't they issued some type of temporary
4	MS. VINSON: They get a temporary card.
5	MR. VALIENTE: So they would have to have that
6	with them prior to actually working.
7	MS. VINSON: Yes.
8	MR. VALIENTE: So we have to verify that.
9	MS. VINSON: So when they come and check in
10	MR. VALIENTE: Correct. Exactly.
11	MS. VINSON: y'all would have to check for
12	that temporary card.
13	MR. VALIENTE: Well, we plan to have a roll call
14	every day. So at roll call, at that point, where we can
15	actually do that, that checking. And then, in fact, if
16	they don't have card their with them, then they are not
17	licensed to act in the capacity of a security guard; is
18	that correct?
19	MS. VINSON: If they don't have the temporary
20	card.
21	MR. VALIENTE: The temporary card, correct.
22	MR. GURVICH: Well, getting back to Ms. Vinson's
23	point, is it feasible to require Solomon Group to get a
24	photocopy of these various permanent and temporary guard
25	licenses and submit that with their lists?

MR. ROGILLIO: It could. 1 MR. GURVICH: I mean, that might -- would that 2 help your comfort level? 3 MS. VINSON: Because, I mean, I would like you, 4 I would like the Solomon Group to submit a list of who 5 the security quards are that y'all are going to have 6 working so we can balance that. 7 MR. VALIENTE: Okay. And included with that 8 name, you want a photocopy of their temporary card or 9 permanent card? 10 MR. GURVICH: Right. 11 MS. VINSON: Right. 12 MR. DUPLECHAIN: Of the card itself or the 13 application? 14 MR. GURVICH: Well, they would have a card of 15 some kind, even if it were only the temporary card that 16 comes off the application. 17 MS. VINSON: If he sends names and socials, we 18 can balance that with what has been received here and 19 what hasn't. 20 MR. GURVICH: Right, right. Well, then, is it 21 -- go ahead. 2.2 I'm sorry. MS. VINSON: I don't even think we need a copy 2.3 of that, Mr. Valiente. I think that we could just have 24 names and socials. 25

MS. RYLAND: That's what we got from S.A.F.E. 1 was the names and socials. 2 That way, we could verify with MR. DUPLECHAIN: 3 that list to what they submitted. 4 MS. RYLAND: And basically all we did was just 5 check the list to make sure that they wasn't denied, the 6 ones that they gave us. When nine came up denied, they 7 couldn't get those on the list. 8 MR. GURVICH: And we could always go back and 9 determine. Let's assume we find an individual who would 10 not be eligible. We would be able to determine whom that 11 individual or what company that individual worked for --12 MS. RYLAND: Well, if they submit it to us, I 13 will let him know and he can let them know. 14 Right. But, I mean, if there's, MR. GURVICH: 15 you know, problems, and we've got real issues --16 MS. VINSON: And this is only two weeks away 17 too, so you're going to have to. 18 MR. GURVICH: Yes. All right. So they have 19 agreed that they are going to furnish you with the names 20 and social security numbers by company? 21 It doesn't matter to me. MS. RYLAND: 2.2 MR. VALIENTE: When you get the list from me, it 2.3 will be broken up by each company, so. 24 MR. GURVICH: And that they're going to do a 25
photo ID to be approved -- which would be approved by --1 and I know we don't have a lot of time, but this is what 2 S.A.F.E. did, and I do think it made the difference, is a 3 photo ID that the board approves which contains the --4 the quard's name, did we have that, or we just had the? 5 MS. VINSON: It was the guard's name and the 6 company that he worked for. 7 MR. GURVICH: -- indicating the name of the 8 quard and the company that he worked for. Okay. I mean, 9 is that acceptable to you, sir? We have been trying to 10 find a way to make this work. How many individuals do 11 you think are we talking about here? 12 MR. VALIENTE: The name, so, basically, the ID 13 has to have the name of the quard and the name of the 14 company that he actually works for. Now, does it --15 MS. VINSON: And a head shot. 16 MR. VALIENTE: -- have to have his actual photo, 17 his picture? 18 That's what S.A.F.E. did; is that MR. GURVICH: 19 not correct? 20 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. 21 MR. GURVICH: Okay. All right. So, staff, 2.2 what's your recommendation? If we shortly make a motion 23 to authorize Solomon Group to enter into the same 24 arrangement that S.A.F.E. had on the condition that the 25

1	names and socials of the guards be furnished by Solomon
2	and that Solomon issue a name tag with the photo, the
3	guard's name, and the name of the company, which is
4	which passes your muster. Then if all this is
5	achievable, then I think we can proceed to grant you your
6	wish. And I know we only have what's today, the
7	20th?
8	MR. ROJAS: Two weeks from today.
9	MR. GURVICH: So you have got two weeks. I
10	assume this starts before July 4th, right?
11	MR. VALIENTE: It does. Actually, some of the
12	assignments are going to start as early as the 29th of
13	June.
14	MR. GURVICH: Okay. And we will have our
15	representatives will be present to ensure that the laws
16	are.
17	MR. ROGILLIO: The question of how many people
18	are we talking about, he didn't answer that.
19	MR. GURVICH: Right. How many people we got?
20	MR. VALIENTE: Total?
21	MR. GURVICH: Well, on the contract security
22	side. We're not worried about the law enforcement.
23	MR. VALIENTE: About 175 people.
24	MR. GURVICH: So this is achievable all around.
25	MR. VALIENTE: I think it is. I mean, it's not,

1 || frankly, that much.

2	MR. BLACKBURN: Can we examine one thing more,
3	though? The difference between them and other one was,
4	they were a licensed company. Solomon, as I understand
5	it, is not a licensed company.
6	MR. GURVICH: Right.
7	MR. BLACKBURN: Does that bother anybody? And
8	should we, at the very least, make sure that they have
9	some kind of liability policy?
10	MR. GURVICH: I had thought about that, but I
11	assume you will have recourse against the security
12	company.
13	MR. ROGILLIO: I think the issue is, they are
14	not a licensed Solomon is not, per se, a licensed
15	security company; however, the law says that, if you
16	provide security by sending someone to do security, then
17	you are a contract security company.
18	They are not actually doing that. They are hiring
19	licensed companies under their guise to provide the
20	security. So they are not sending anybody to do
21	security. They are just kind of like a consulting
22	company, basically.
23	MR. BLACKBURN: Or a contract
24	MR. ROGILLIO: That's the difference.
25	MR. BLACKBURN: Or they are signing a contract

1 || to provide security.

2 MR. GURVICH: Well, I think that's an 3 interesting point. And I don't think they could get 4 licensed.

5 MR. BLACKBURN: What kind of insurance have you 6 got? That's the only thing I would worry about, is the 7 public being able to recover.

MR. VALIENTE: I can get that to you. I don't know the exact nature of the insurance, but we are heavily insured for this event. It also includes the security side of the fence. I don't have that with me, but I can have that faxed to you today.

MR. GURVICH: Well, do you have like assault and 13 battery coverage? I mean, there are some peculiar 14 coverages that don't appear on most GL policies that we 15 require because of the unusual nature of our business and 16 the involvement of contract security officers with the 17 public. Like assault and battery is an intentional 18 battery by a security officer, and it might not be 19 covered under a regular GL policy because it's 20 intentional, it's not an accident. 21

MR. DUPLECHAIN: I don't understand. It would be the same as, let's say, a hospital hiring multiple security companies to work security at the hospital. We don't require anything of the hospital as long as the

companies are licensed and their guards are licensed. 1 MR. BLACKBURN: But that he is contracting with 2 the hospital to provide security. 3 MR. ROJAS: Excuse me. 4 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Rojas has a comment or a 5 question. 6 MR. ROJAS: Can't they give him a hold harmless 7 clause? 8 MR. BLACKBURN: You can give them anything you 9 want to give them. 10 MR. GURVICH: Not by the public, though. 11 I mean, S.A.F.E. was licensed here, MS. VINSON: 12 and S.A.F.E. also had the reputation of doing this at 13 previous super bowls before. 14 And they had insurance, and they MR. BLACKBURN: 15 had a license here. And when one of these guards knock 16 somebody in the head, they're going to sue Solomon, and 17 they may not be able to recover. 18 MR. GURVICH: No question that they -- that you 19 would be involved in the line of fire in a lawsuit, 20 regardless of whether ---21 MR. BLACKBURN: For their own benefit, they 2.2 ought to get it. 23 MR. GURVICH: There may be ultimate recourse 24 25 against the security company, but there is no question --

speaking as a lawyer, there is no question that you guys would be probably named first in the whole lawsuit. I mean --

MR. BLACKBURN: I'd ask them to provide the policy to the staff and to let Wayne look at it and feel comfortable enough.

7 MR. GURVICH: Here's the problem: You've only 8 got two weeks.

9 MR. BLACKBURN: Well, provide a policy that's 10 subject to Wayne's approval.

MR. GURVICH: Okay. Then let me throw out this motion, then, so we can resolve. I'll make a motion:

13 That the Solomon Group Production Services request 14 to allow one uniform for use for the Essence, or one type 15 of uniform, different colored shirts, it's understood, 16 under the following conditions:

One, that Solomon Group Production Services agree to provide a board staff approved identifier with the photo of the guard, the name of the guard, and the name of the contract guard service for whom the guard works;

Secondly, that Solomon Group Production Services provide the board staff with their insurance to also be approved by the board staff and containing coverages as required by our law anyway;

And, thirdly, that the -- all security officers

25

1	provided by the contract security vendors be required to
2	submit their license to be copied by Solomon Group
3	Production Services and forwarded to the state board.
4	Is that where you want to be, Counsel?
5	MR. BLACKBURN: Yes, sir.
6	MR. DUPLECHAIN: Second.
7	MR. GURVICH: Chief?
8	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. That's fine.
9	MR. GURVICH: Sir?
10	MR. VALIENTE: Absolutely.
11	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Motion by Mr. Gurvich. I
12	think there was a second by Mr. Duplechain. Debate?
13	Discussion? Did I hit all the right
14	MS. VINSON: You got it.
15	MR. GURVICH: Then I will call for a vote, then.
16	All in favor of the motion as stated say aye.
17	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
18	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes
19	unanimously. Thank you for being here, sir. Good luck
20	with your festival.
21	MR. VALIENTE: Thank you for having me.
22	APPROVAL OF CONTRACTS
23	MR. ROGILLIO: The next matter is to approve the
24	contracts for the CPA, Mr. McRight, who was here earlier;
25	the court reporter, Ms. Ross, who is here today;

Investigators Joe Liemann, James Coleman; and our 1 computer technicians of Michael Morgan and the firm of 2 Teknarus. And these basically are the same contract 3 that we have had year after year. 4 MR. GURVICH: All right. Let's take a moment 5 for the board members to review the contract. 6 MS. RYLAND: Louis, Annette wants to talk about 7 her contract. 8 MR. GURVICH: Right. And which one is this? 9 Annette Ross contract. Okay. Annette. 10 COURT REPORTER: I would like to have it added 11 in there that it's \$75 an hour for the time that you go 12 into executive session. Now, it sounds like a lot of 13 money, but it's you pay about \$300 an hour when I'm in 14 here taking notes, so it doesn't -- you know. And I 15 would like just to have that put in there, the hourly. 16 MR. GURVICH: In other words, you are stuck 17 here, even though you're not doing anything? 18 COURT REPORTER: 19 Right. MR. KENNEDY: Absolutely. 20 MR. GURVICH: Okay. I will make a motion to 21 2.2 approve the --MS. VINSON: Is that in here? 23 COURT REPORTER: It's not in the contract. Ι 24 25 just saw it today.

MR. GURVICH: I will make a motion to approve 1 the amendment to the contract authorizing a \$75 fee for 2 the period of time in which the board is in executive 3 session. Do I hear a second? 4 COURT REPORTER: \$75 an hour. 5 MR. GURVICH: An hour. 6 MR. KENNEDY: Second. 7 MR. GURVICH: Well, I hope we're not in 8 executive session too many hours. Motion to amend the 9 contract. Second by Mr. Romero? 10 MR. ROMERO: Sure. 11 MR. GURVICH: Debate? Discussion? All in favor 12 of the amendment? 13 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 14 I'm sorry. All in favor of the MR. GURVICH: 15 motion as stated say aye. 16 MS. RYLAND: Excuse me. Is this going to be 17 effective today, or is this going to be effective July 18 1st? 19 MR. GURVICH: I don't know if we can do it. 20 retroactively. But, I mean --21 MS. RYLAND: Can you state July 1st? 2.2 MR. GURVICH: But, I mean, we weren't in 2.3 executive session too long. 24 MR. ROGILLIO: It's for next year's budget, 25

1 || basically.

2	MR. GURVICH: Right. So I'll make a motion to
3	approve the contract as amended to provide for the \$75 an
4	hour while we are in executive session. Mr. Romero
5	seconded that. Debate? Discussion? All in favor of the
6	motion as stated say aye.
7	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
8	MR. GURVICH: Motion passed unanimously. Thank
9	you. Now, on the other contracts, we have the
10	contracts do you want to do it sort of all together in
11	globo?
12	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. It's the same, same
13	contract.
14	MR. GURVICH: Anybody feel differently about
15	that?
16	MS. VINSON: No.
17	MR. GURVICH: How many investigators do we have
18	working?
19	MR. ROGILLIO: We have two on contract
20	currently.
21	MR. GURVICH: And they are making \$50 an hour?
22	MR. ROGILLIO: I think, yes, sir. Yes, sir.
23	And mileage. We pay mileage.
24	MR. GURVICH: That would be Mr. Liemann and who
25	else?

MR. ROGILLIO: James Coleman in the Shreveport 1 2 area. MR. GURVICH: All right. Well, I will make a 3 motion to approve the contracts as presented, other than 4 Annette Ross's, which has already been approved. Do I 5 hear a second? 6 MR. BAER: Second. 7 MR. ROMERO: Second. 8 MR. ROJAS: Second. 9 MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich, jointly 10 seconded by Mr. Baer, Mr. Romero, and I believe 11 Mr. Rojas. Debate? Discussion? All in favor of the 12 motion as stated say aye. 13 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 14 MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes 15 unanimously. So we have the contracts now. What else? 16 One other thing. 17 MR. ROGILLIO: Next meeting date. 18 NEW BUSINESS, PAY INCREASE FOR UNCLASSIFIED CIVIL SERVICE 19 **EMPLOYEES** 20 MR. GURVICH: Not quite. We have another issue 21 of our not civil service employees, and I don't believe 2.2 that you and Dickie have had a raise in four years. Is 2.3 that correct? 24 MR. ROGILLIO: I was informed it's been four 25

47

1	years. I don't recall how long it's been.
2	MR. GURVICH: Well, then I will make a motion to
3	waive the agenda to take under consideration the question
4	of raises for our two non-civil service staffers, Dickie
5	and Wayne.
6	MS. RYLAND: Actually, they are civil service.
7	They are unclassified.
8	MR. GURVICH: Unclassified civil service. Do I
9	hear a second to the motion?
10	MR. ROMERO: Second.
11	MR. DUPLECHAIN: Second.
12	MS. VINSON: Second.
13	MR. GURVICH: All right. Jointly seconded by, I
14	think, everybody on the board. So here we are. It's by
15	my lights, it's been four years since you all received
16	any raise at all. And I believe the plan was for the
17	state classified civil service to receive a 4 percent
18	raise, was it not?
19	MR. ROGILLIO: That was for next year's budget.
20	MR. GURVICH: Right. But that's fine. Yes.
21	And, I think, maybe that would be a good benchmark to
22	work from in terms of our nonclassified civil service.
23	So, without further ado, if it is the sense of the board
24	that this is the direction we want to go in, I will make
25	motion to give Dickie and Wayne a 4 percent raise

1	effective July 1, 2013. Do I hear a second?
2	MR. DUPLECHAIN: Second.
3	MS. VINSON: Second.
4	MR. KENNEDY: Second.
5	MR. GURVICH: Jointly seconded by members of the
6	board.
7	MR. DUPLECHAIN: Question.
8	MR. GURVICH: Question by Mr. Duplechain.
9	MR. DUPLECHAIN: Y'all haven't received a raise
10	at all in four years, right?
11	MR. ROGILLIO: No, sir.
12	MR. DUPLECHAIN: And the state employees receive
13	one every year?
14	MR. ROGILLIO: They haven't been, no, sir. The
15	government, state government, actually stopped the
16	4 percent raises for all employees four years ago.
17	MR. DUPLECHAIN: Nothing else.
18	MR. ROGILLIO: I want to say that she started
19	it. I blame her for this.
20	MS. RYLAND: Zip it.
21	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. She said to zip my mouth.
22	I'm very humble with this kind of thing. I don't ask for
23	anything. I just do my thing. And I do appreciate it.
24	I really do.
25	MR. GURVICH: And blame Mr. Romero as well,

because he was person who first broached it. 1 MR. ROGILLIO: It's just it's not something I'm 2 comfortable with, asking for anything, but I do --3 MR. GURVICH: Well, we didn't ask you to ask. 4 MR. ROGILLIO: I know, but I appreciate it. I 5 really do. Thank you very much. 6 MR. DUPLECHAIN: The reason I had asked that, 7 that they would have --8 MS. RYLAND: Ours will be automatic. 9 MR. DUPLECHAIN: Yes. That we should keep up 10 with the state. 11 MS. RYLAND: That's okay. The staff is fine 12 with it. 13 MR. ROGILLIO: Thank you very much, gentlemen 14 and lady. 15 MR. GURVICH: Any further debate or discussion? 16 All in favor of the motion as stated say aye. 17 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 18 MR. GURVICH: Congratulations. It's well-19 deserved. 20 MR. ROGILLIO: Thank you, sir. 21 I'm sorry we took four years to 2.2 MR. GURVICH: get around to it. 2.3 MR. ROGILLIO: Well. 24 OLD BUSINESS, OFFICE STATUS REPORTS (CONT'D) 25

MR. GURVICH: You know, we never did discuss old 1 business office status reports, did we? 2 MR. ROGILLIO: I brought it up. I just assumed 3 there was no question. 4 Anybody have any questions or MR. GURVICH: 5 comments for the board staff about it? I mean, what's 6 our number of contract security officers that we are 7 dealing with right now? 8 MS. VINSON: 10,000. 9 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. Right at ten. 10 We have active officers 9529, pending 925. So, yes, we're a 11 little bit over 10,000. 12 MR. GURVICH: That's a little bit of an 13 increase, isn't it? 14 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir, it is. 15 DETERMINATION OF NEXT MEETING DATE 16 MR. GURVICH: Any comments or questions from the 17 board members on that? All right. So we have 18 Determination of the Next Board Meeting? 19 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. 20 MR. GURVICH: Anybody want to offer any 21 suggestion? 2.2 MR. DUPLECHAIN: September 19th. 2.3 MR. GURVICH: September 19th here in the board 24 office at 9:30 a.m. Okay. I will make a motion to that 25

1	effect. Any second?
2	MR. BAER: Second.
3	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich, second by
4	Mr. Baer. Debate? Discussion? There being none, I'll
5	call for the vote. All in favor say aye.
6	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
7	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes
8	unanimously. Now, Mr. Kennedy, he is supposed to have
9	some sort of committee sometime. It's his committee. He
10	can do what he will with the time and the day.
11	MR. KENNEDY: Mr. Chairman, I am awaiting some
12	information from our legal counsel. When I get it, I
13	will probably try to set a committee meeting maybe on the
14	18th, the day before.
15	MR. GURVICH: Your call. Okay. That's
16	acceptable. You can set it, whatever you want.
17	MR. KENNEDY: But that's not definite yet until
18	I get the
19	MR. GURVICH: You want to hold off.
20	MR. KENNEDY: I want to hold off. Once I do, I
21	will contact you and let you know and then we can make
22	the appropriate decision.
23	QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC
24	MR. GURVICH: Your committee. You do as you
25	will. All right. Questions from the Public, do we have

anybody to come forward and address us? Come on, sir.
If you would, find a mic. over here, give us your name,
and speak your piece.

MR. BOURGEOIS: Jason Bourgeois, Signal 88 Security. Just a few questions from today's meeting. I have been making some notes of things I wanted to get some clarification on.

8 Something was brought up, was a company soliciting 9 without a license, and I just wanted to get some 10 clarification on what constitutes solicitation or 11 advertisement. Signs on a vehicle was one thing that was 12 brought up. I was just hoping we could get the board's 13 clarification on that.

MR. GURVICH: Do we have the statutes up here? I usually bring the statutes. We were talking about the fact that you couldn't solicit business. We knew you couldn't operate without a license, but you had suggested, and I think you are correct on this, that you can't even advertise or solicit.

20 MR. BLACKBURN: That at least was correct. 21 MR. GURVICH: So this gentleman has asked, I 22 think, for a little more precise definition of what's 23 allowed.

MR. BLACKBURN: My paralegal will find it shortly, and I will read it to you.

MR. BOURGEOIS: I would like to submit, my reason for asking is, I submitted for my license December 19th, I believe it was. It was February 25th or the 21st before I finally received it, during which time I sat at home waiting. I wanted to go and solicit business, but I couldn't. I was adhering to the rules, and I'm seeing other companies aren't adhering to that.

And, you know, I take a personal note to that, because it was a very difficult time for me, getting started and all. I didn't solicit, but my vehicle was delivered. It was franchised and fully wrapped with my logos and stuff all over it and I was driving around in it.

Obviously, I was not intending to break any laws. I was just driving around in the vehicle. And I did not view that or even -- I wouldn't even view it as a forceful advertisement. So, you know, vehicle signs was mentioned. I don't view it as a form of soliciting when it's just a vehicle that has the sign on it.

And the reason for that is for tax purposes. That thing is a 100 percent company vehicle, and it's labeled as such. And so, if I was driving around without all the logos and stuff on it, I wouldn't be able to take that tax advantage of writing it off.

25

MR. GURVICH: Well, arguably, you probably

could, but, you know, you would have a better case. 1 MR. BLACKBURN: I can read you the law if you 2 want me to. 3 MR. ROMERO: I do take issue with that 4 somewhat. I happened to be behind you driving to the 5 meeting today and saw the vehicle and wasn't familiar 6 with the company. And I automatically assumed, based on 7 the vehicle, that this was an operating security 8 company. 9 MR. BOURGEOIS: Yes, sir. 10 MR. ROMERO: So I would think that any 11 individual putting themselves out in that capacity is 12 certainly in violation, and I think Mr. Blackburn will 13 read from the regulations to see if in fact that is the 14 15 case. MS. VINSON: It's the same as putting up a 16 billboard. 17 MR. GURVICH: Right. But he is licensed now, 18 19 correct? MR. BOURGEOIS: Yes. 20 MS. RYLAND: Yes. 21 And if it had a phone number on 2.2 MR. BLACKBURN: it, that's soliciting to me. Okay. The law reads --23 3276(D) says: 24 25 "Every person in the private security

business shall apply to the board for a license 1 prior to operating a business or soliciting 2 business in Louisiana." 3 MR. GURVICH: The question, I quess, if you 4 are -- if you have a logo on your vehicle --5 MR. BLACKBURN: And a phone number, that's 6 soliciting. 7 That's probably going to be MR. GURVICH: 8 soliciting. 9 MR. BOURGEOIS: And my reason for asking too is 10 because there was some ambiguity in the laws and that was 11 something that I troubled with for a while, and I did ask 12 Ms. Ryland and sat here, because my license application 13 was submitted and the rules state that I can't operate or 14 solicit without submitting, which I had already 15 submitted. And then there is another part in the rules 16 where it says, anyone that's operating without a license 17 is in complete violation, but there is nothing that 18 specifically says soliciting. 19 And, again, I did not view it as soliciting. When I 20 did receive a phone call, because the phone number is on 21 it, I told them I'm not operating yet, I'm not there yet, 2.2 but, you know, maybe check back with me by this time, I 2.3 will. So I wasn't actively pursing contracts, so I 24 25 wasn't doing sales calls with anyone. I just was

1	operating the vehicle. And, again, I was trying to do
2	everything I could possible.
3	MR. BLACKBURN: He does have a good point that
4	it says is it at the time you apply for your license
5	or get your license? It says apply.
6	MS. VINSON: That says apply, right?
7	MR. ROJAS: Yes. Prior.
8	MS. VINSON: But you just can't operate until
9	you have it.
10	MR. BLACKBURN: Or solicit.
11	MR. GURVICH: Well, the question is, can you
12	solicit or advertise in the interim period. Now, we used
13	to have the provision of the law was, you would apply
14	and you could operate on an interim basis?
15	MR. ROGILLIO: Used to be, yes, sir.
16	MR. GURVICH: We got rid of that five years
17	ago?
18	MR. ROGILLIO: I think it was a little longer.
19	MR. GURVICH: Longer than that. Maybe it's more
20	like ten. The years kind of just go by. But, so, at any
21	rate, now I think that's a valid issue which may not have
22	been much of a problem before, but when we amended the
23	law to say there was no interim operation, maybe now it
24	is more of an issue.
25	I suspect people have violated this, and we didn't

know about it or whatever. But, Counsel, what's your 1 opinion? You couldn't have the sign before you were 2 licensed, I take it? 3 MR. BLACKBURN: It says apply. I'm trying to 4 remember if we -- did we ever pass a rule to clarify that 5 about -- no. 6 May I see that law? MR. GURVICH: 7 MR. BLACKBURN: Sure. 8 MR. GURVICH: Like I say, I usually have the 9 actual statute with me, but I didn't bring it. 10 MR. BOURGEOIS: I request this: Could we allow 11 companies to solicit while it's pending? Using 12 precedents that were set like today, they haven't even 13 submitted their license yet, but they have already gotten 14 the contract for that Essence Festival thing. 15 MR. DUPLECHAIN: Yes. They're not -- they're --16 that's a different question. 17 MR. BOURGEOIS: I realize that, but they didn't 18 have an application even submitted to the board, but they 19 are -- now have a contract to provide security service, 20 even though they are going to subcontract this to another 21 company. So they have in effect solicited security 2.2 service to someone without even having an application 2.3 submitted. And then we were allowed today through the 24 25 board meeting for them to now operate with their license

58

 $\|$  pending.

2	MS. VINSON: I don't think their contract is to
3	provide security service. They are hired as a consulting
4	firm to do a whole number of things.
5	MR. BOURGEOIS: But if they receive the check,
6	would they not be the provider for security and they then
7	pay their subcontractors? I'm only asking because that's
8	how I'm reading the meetings and other things, so.
9	MR. KENNEDY: They are a management
10	corporation.
11	MS. VINSON: Yes. They are a management
12	corporation.
13	MR. KENNEDY: They are managing the overall
14	Essence Festival.
15	MR. DUPLECHAIN: Well, you know, I would imagine
16	they give a list of each company and how many guard hours
17	each company worked for them and submit it to the
18	festival. The festival issues a check to each company.
19	It would have to be that way to be legal.
20	MR. GURVICH: My question, Counsel, you could
21	for violating these laws, you could go to jail or be
22	fined?
23	MR. BLACKBURN: Yes, you could.
24	MR. GURVICH: So this, you know, if you
25	analogize this to the criminal code, it has to be strict

construction. I mean, you can't broaden it any more than 1 what it says. 2 MR. BLACKBURN: Right. 3 MR. GURVICH: Is this part of the criminal code, 4 for all practical purposes? 5 It's got a penalty of \$500 and MR. BLACKBURN: 6 six months in jail, so, yes. 7 MR. GURVICH: Are you sure it's not five months? 8 MR. BLACKBURN: Say it again. 9 MR. GURVICH: I think it says five months in 10 jail. 11 Five months, is it? Somebody MR. BLACKBURN: 12 made a typo? 13 MR. GURVICH: I think it says five months. No. 14 MR. ROGILLIO: It says five months, yes. It's 15 five months. 16 MR. GURVICH: Five months. But you can be 17 fined. I mean, I'm not sure. If you look at this as a 18 criminal statute, and maybe you should, then this 19 literally says that you could have -- once you apply, you 20 can begin to solicit. 21 MR. BLACKBURN: It says the reverse of that, 2.2 but, yes. 23 MR. GURVICH: Right. Essentially effective. 24 25 MR. BLACKBURN: Yes.

60

MR. GURVICH: And you can't broaden the 1 construction of that or analogize it. Because it's a 2 criminal statute, it has to be read strictly as it's 3 read -- written. 4 MR. BLACKBURN: Which means they could solicit 5 or operate. 6 MR. GURVICH: Well, you could solicit -- and 7 once you apply to the board, you could advertise and 8 solicit for business, although you couldn't operate. And 9 if you read this literally, that's where you are. 10 MR. COTTON: What was the legislative 11 (inaudible) on that one? 12 MR. GURVICH: Well, the problem you have is 13 that, when this law was written, you could apply and 14 begin operating and begin advertising. But then we 15 changed the law to prohibit your operating until you 16 received your actual license. 17 We didn't change the solicitation. We didn't change 18 this statute of 3276. So read strictly, I would say I 19 think you may have to read this strictly, because you can 20 be fined and go to jail for violation thereof. You could 21 solicit after you apply. 2.2 MR. BOURGEOIS: And I'm asking, is there any 2.3 harm in that? Could we allow it or, you know, maybe more 24 expressly say that, you know, verbally, so instead of me, 25

you know, feeling like I have to hide the truck or
 whatever, because that's literally all I was doing was
 driving. I wasn't even doing any sales calls.

4

5

6

7

But it would allow a company that's just starting to solicit sales and say, hey, I'll start your contracts effective, say, March 1st, pending my license, if I am able to operate at that time.

MR. GURVICH: Well, there's one thing I can tell 8 you. Let's assume you are wildly successful, okay, and 9 you've got your truck and you've got your logo and you 10 pull up and you meet a guy and he says, I'm having -- I 11 need security badly. I need it right away, and it's half 12 a million, million dollar a year contract. How are you 13 going to feel about that? If you are not, you can't 14 provide. You can solicit. You can advertise. You have 15 been successful. Now you can't take a job because you 16 don't have a license. 17

MR. BOURGEOIS: We have the means already in the laws to penalize that person for taking that contract, for violating the laws, operating without having a license.

MR. GURVICH: Right. But somebody who, like yourself, would be a in a pickle, then, I mean. MR. BOURGEOIS: But that would be on the individual at that point, and the board would have, you

know, provided the structure necessary to have an ethical
 process happening.

3

4

5

6

7

8

MR. GURVICH: As I read this, once you apply, you could begin soliciting. I don't think you can -whether it's a civil or a criminal statute, I think it's pretty much literally; you know, there's not much ambiguity.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's what it says.

MR. GURVICH: It is what it is. We do have a
theory in the law that just sometimes it is what it is.
So we can certainly, if we feel the need, we could
change it. But right now the law is, you can advertise
and soliciting, but you can't begin service, actual field
operations, until your license is --

MR. DUPLECHAIN: In other words, you weren't in
violation.

MR. GURVICH: And that's an opinion, which you get what you pay for.

MR. BLACKBURN: Well, if our job is to protect
the public, that probably does protect the public. You
can solicit. So that when you do get licensed and
operational, you can provide the services.
MS. VINSON: Or when you don't, you have to say,
sorry.
MR. BLACKBURN: In the meantime, I don't have my

1 || license yet, so.

2 MR. GURVICH: I agree. I mean, I think you can 3 solicit.

MR. BOURGEOIS: Sir, another question I brought 4 that came to my mind is: In the light of protecting the 5 public safety as mentioned, and this may be something 6 that has been broached before, but if a felon has been 7 providing security service then, you know, obviously, you 8 determine that the background check doesn't clear and 9 they can't provide that service anymore, they get 10 terminated. But what if they have been providing in an 11 armed capacity? What has happened at that point? 12 MR. GURVICH: Well, it's more serious, I can 13

14 || tell you that. Chief.

15 MR. ROGILLIO: That's the case that would be 16 brought here.

MR. GURVICH: Well, one of the problems you have is, there is some gap in the time frame between the person being hired, deployed in the field, and the background check coming back. And, typically, that gap is how long?

MR. ROGILLIO: If we get a good set of prints, generally, two to three weeks. If we get a bad set of prints and have to ask for more, it could be longer. MR. GURVICH: Some people don't take prints

easily. It could be months. And that is a problem. We
have addressed this before. I don't know quite how.
Maybe these electronic fingerprint deals, something will
be done about it in the future.

MR. BOURGEOIS: My proposal, sir, would be, 5 basically a preliminary electronic background check, 6 maybe like through NCIC or something like that. You 7 know, is there some means where we could, you know, so 8 that the board is fulfilling their requirement of 9 protecting public safety by doing that. So at least we 10 can preliminarily say, okay, they are not automatically a 11 felon, we can at least -- just for the armed security 12 piece, at least. You know, just so, at least, we are 13 covering ourselves there. 14

15 MR. DUPLECHAIN: You can do a -- there's 16 companies that do background checks.

MR. BOURGEOIS: I mean, I have an electronic system that I utilize. I'm just talking about, from the board's standpoint, you know, if the purpose of the board is to protect public safety, then would not the board have some kind of temporary measure in place to almost immediately get those?

MS. VINSON. Right. And the temporary measure would probably cost the applicant an additional \$20, so. MR. BOURGEOIS: Obviously, yes.

1	MR. ROMERO: Well, and another thing is that
2	there is no mechanism other than NCIC.
3	MR. BOURGEOIS: Right.
4	MR. ROMERO: So the mechanics of being able to
5	get that accomplished has to go through the fingerprints
6	and through the state board, because, as private
7	companies, we don't have access to NCIC.
8	MR. BOURGEOIS: Right. But like the \$20 fee
9	would be \$20 well-spent, you know, if you are preventing
10	a felon from possessing a weapon on a client's property
11	potentially.
12	MR. DUPLECHAIN: Used to be when I first
13	started, you would go to the sheriff's department or the
14	city police and run an NCIS check. Then the state passed
15	the law about fingerprints, and they cut that out.
16	MR. ROGILLIO: The NCIC, Justice Department
17	actually audits police agencies now. They can't use that
18	for licensing purposes.
19	And from the standpoint of having been in law
20	enforcement, I might have 15 Michael Smiths back there in
21	the files. If you get a Michael Smith hit, how do you
22	have unless you have good and sometimes birth dates
23	are very close. And so you deny that person employment
24	because you think he might have a record, but that's not
25	proved until you get the prints.

66

MR. DUPLECHAIN: You would think the federal 1 government would enact laws that would speed up the 2 process of trying to get people. I understand what 3 you're saying, because it's happened to me twice already 4 that this guy should not have been working and he was 5 working for like almost three months. 6

That's before we started the system. And at that 7 time, if I would have been able to run a check through the sheriff's department, I would have known right there this guy shouldn't be working. 10

8

9

MR. BOURGEOTS: I would be scared of criminal 11 charges on owner's for putting the gun in his hands, you 12 know, the felon. 13

MR. DUPLECHAIN: The federal government is the 14 one who makes the laws. They used to do it, but they 15 stopped it. 16

There is no other mechanism. MR. ROMERO: There 17 are databases through information brokers that one can 18 But, there again, that is only a clearing house 19 utilize. The only information available to us that's of data. 20 accurate is through NCIC, and we don't have the mechanics 21 to be able to accomplish that. 2.2

MR. BOURGEOIS: But you know, with the 2.3 clearinghouse, the data was a margin of error. You know, 24 at \$16 to \$20 per applicant, could that be at least a 25

preliminary thing, well, okay, for an armed guard, you're not allowed to have -- or a firearm until he at least cleared that and then we do the full, you know, thing going on, which could take months, you know. It would just take a few extra minutes of their time, where they could attach the results from that check, you know, to it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 So it's not something that you guys would have to do 9 necessarily. Like I use a program HireRight. And it's 10 an online program. It costs me \$16 per applicant. And I 11 could actually attach it on there if there was some kind 12 of requirement of something.

MS. VINSON: No. But there's -- I used to be an idealist too, I guess. And there are a lot of companies that just can't afford that. You know, there are a lot of companies that it would be an undue hardship to that company, you know, in this industry, because there are still a lot of small companies out there.

MR. BOURGEOIS: I disagree. At 92.50 per applicant already and a \$400 annual licensing fee and then, you know, you've got to pay \$150 bucks a year for the --

MR. DUPLECHAIN: All the employee pays is \$40. All expenses, everything else, the company pays. That's what she is saying: The companies can't afford that.

But then, on the other hand, you invest --1 MS. VINSON: Some minimum wage employees can't 2 afford that. 3 MR. DUPLECHAIN: -- you have to invest that much 4 money up front for someone that can be denied in three or 5 four weeks from now. 6 Right. But, again, I'm looking MR. BOURGEOIS: 7 at undue hardship on a company that may be putting guns 8 in the hands of felons versus you know undue hardship on 9 the part of the client who has a felon armed on their 10 property. 11 (Mr. Cotton leaves hearing.) 12 MR. ROMERO: Again, you are making a request 13 based on an information service providing you data that's 14 not going to provide the same data as you are going to 15 receive from NCIC. From a liability standpoint, in 16 protecting your own organization, I think that's a very 17 noble approach and one that you might want to continue if 18 that's what you are looking to do. But the mechanics do 19 not allow us any other mechanism, other than NCIC, to be 20 able to get this information. 21 MR. BOURGEOTS: I understand. I understand 2.2 that. Okay. 23 MR. KENNEDY: Mr. Chairman. 24 25 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Kennedy.

69

MR. KENNEDY: There is one other alternative: 1 You just don't allow anyone to work, like they do in 2 Texas, until they receive their permanent license. 3 MR. DUPLECHAIN: Oh, my God. 4 MR. KENNEDY: They don't work on a temporary 5 license at all. 6 MR. DUPLECHAIN: But it's easier to do a 7 criminal background check like I do. 8 MR. BLACKBURN: They wouldn't have anybody 9 working as it is. 10 That's exactly right. I don't MR. KENNEDY: 11 know how Texas functions that way, but that is a law in 12 Texas. And how it worked, there is no such thing as a 13 temporary license in Texas. 14 MR. ROGILLIO: I think Florida does the same 15 thing. 16 Well, they said it's the guard's --MS. VINSON: 17 it's the individual's responsibility. 18 That is the only way I know of to 19 MR. KENNEDY: eliminate that gap in information. 20 MR. GURVICH: Well, I hope we tried to answer 21 your question. 2.2 MR. BOURGEOIS: Yes, sir. I apologize for 2.3 taking so much time. I have one last question. It 24 should be a little simpler. What warrants a branch 25

1	license? Is it just when I, say, take a contract in
2	another city or when I open a shop, or what would warrant
3	a branch license?
4	MR. GURVICH: I think it's pretty much up to you
5	if you want a branch license.
6	MR. ROGILLIO: Right. That's voluntary. Yes.
7	MR. GURVICH: Yes. That's voluntary.
8	MR. BOURGEOIS: All right. Thank you.
9	MR. GURVICH: Thank you for being here today.
10	Anything further? Anyone else care to address the
11	board? Well, then, do I hear a motion to adjourn?
12	MR. ROMERO: Motion.
13	MR. KENNEDY: You got it.
14	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Romero, second by
15	Mr. Kennedy and, I believe, also Mr. Duplechain. There
16	being no further business before the board and the motion
17	being passed unanimously, this meeting is closed. Thank
18	you for being here today.
19	(End of proceedings.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Annette Ross, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, as the Officer before whom this testimony was taken, do hereby certify:
5	That the proceedings as herein before set forth in the foregoing 71 pages was reported by me in stenographic
6 7	machine shorthand, transcribed by me or under my personal direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding;
8	That I am not of counsel, nor related to any person
9	participating in this cause, and am in no way interested in the outcome of this event;
10	That the transcript has been prepared in compliance with the transcript format guidelines required by statute
11	and by rules of the board;
12	That I have acted in compliance with the prohibition
13	on contractual relationships as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory opinions of the board.
14	This certification is valid only for a transcript
15	accompanied by my original signature and original blue stamp on this page.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	ANNETTE ROSS,
21	CCR NO. 93001
22	20th day of July, 2013
23	
24	
25	

72